1. THE CKM QUARK-MIXING MATRIX

Revised March 2012 by A. Ceccucci (CERN), Z. Ligeti (LBNL), and Y. Sakai (KEK).

1.1. Introduction

The masses and mixings of quarks have a common origin in the Standard Model (SM). They arise from the Yukawa interactions with the Higgs condensate,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -Y_{ij}^d \, \overline{Q_{Li}^I} \, \phi \, d_{Rj}^I - Y_{ij}^u \, \overline{Q_{Li}^I} \, \epsilon \, \phi^* u_{Rj}^I + \text{h.c.}, \qquad (1.1)$$

where $Y^{u,d}$ are 3×3 complex matrices, ϕ is the Higgs field, i, j are generation labels, and ϵ is the 2×2 antisymmetric tensor. Q_L^I are left-handed quark doublets, and d_R^I and u_R^I are right-handed down- and up-type quark singlets, respectively, in the weak-eigenstate basis. When ϕ acquires a vacuum expectation value, $\langle \phi \rangle = (0, v/\sqrt{2})$, Eq. (1.1) yields mass terms for the quarks. The physical states are obtained by diagonalizing $Y^{u,d}$ by four unitary matrices, $V_{L,R}^{u,d}$, as $M_{\text{diag}}^f = V_L^f \, Y^f \, V_R^{f\dagger}(v/\sqrt{2})$, $f = u, d$. As a result, the charged-current $W^\pm$ interactions couple to the physical u_{Lj} and d_{Lk} quarks with couplings given by

$$\frac{-g}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\overline{u_L}, \overline{c_L}, \overline{t_L} \right) \gamma^\mu \, W_\mu^+ \, V_{\text{CKM}} \begin{pmatrix} d_L \\ s_L \\ b_L \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}, \qquad V_{\text{CKM}} \equiv V_L^u V_L^{d\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}.$$
$$(1.2)$$

This Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [1,2] is a 3×3 unitary matrix. It can be parameterized by three mixing angles and the CP-violating KM phase [2]. Of the many possible conventions, a standard choice has become [3]

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$(1.3)$$

where $s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}$, and δ is the phase responsible for all CP-violating phenomena in flavor-changing processes in the SM. The angles θ_{ij} can be chosen to lie in the first quadrant, so $s_{ij}, c_{ij} \geq 0$.

It is known experimentally that $s_{13} \ll s_{23} \ll s_{12} \ll 1$, and it is convenient to exhibit this hierarchy using the Wolfenstein parameterization. We define [4–6]

$$s_{12} = \lambda = \frac{|V_{us}|}{\sqrt{|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2}}, \qquad s_{23} = A\lambda^2 = \lambda \left| \frac{V_{cb}}{V_{us}} \right|,$$

$$s_{13}e^{i\delta} = V_{ub}^* = A\lambda^3 \left(\rho + i\eta \right) = \frac{A\lambda^3 \left(\bar\rho + i\bar\eta \right) \sqrt{1 - A^2\lambda^4}}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} \left[1 - A^2\lambda^4 \left(\bar\rho + i\bar\eta \right) \right]}. \, (1.4)$$

These relations ensure that $\bar\rho + i\bar\eta = -(V_{ud}V_{ub}^*)/(V_{cd}V_{cb}^*)$ is phase-convention-independent, and the CKM matrix written in terms of

Figure 1.1:   Sketch of the unitarity triangle.

λ, A, $\bar{\rho}$, and $\bar{\eta}$ is unitary to all orders in λ. The definitions of $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}$ reproduce all approximate results in the literature. For example, $\bar{\rho} = \rho(1 - \lambda^2/2 + \ldots)$ and we can write V_{CKM} to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$ either in terms of $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}$ or, traditionally,

$$V_{\mathrm{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^4\right).$$
(1.5)

The CKM matrix elements are fundamental parameters of the SM, so their precise determination is important. The unitarity of the CKM matrix imposes $\sum_i V_{ij} V_{ik}^* = \delta_{jk}$ and $\sum_j V_{ij} V_{kj}^* = \delta_{ik}$. The six vanishing combinations can be represented as triangles in a complex plane, of which the ones obtained by taking scalar products of neighboring rows or columns are nearly degenerate. The areas of all triangles are the same, half of the Jarlskog invariant, J [7], which is a phase-convention-independent measure of CP violation, defined by $\mathrm{Im}\left[V_{ij} V_{kl} V_{il}^* V_{kj}^*\right] = J \sum_{m,n} \varepsilon_{ikm} \varepsilon_{jln}$.

The most commonly used unitarity triangle arises from

$$V_{ud} V_{ub}^* + V_{cd} V_{cb}^* + V_{td} V_{tb}^* = 0,$$
(1.6)

by dividing each side by the best-known one, $V_{cd} V_{cb}^*$ (see Fig. 1). Its vertices are exactly $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$, and, due to the definition in Eq. (1.4), $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$. An important goal of flavor physics is to overconstrain the CKM elements, and many measurements can be conveniently displayed and compared in the $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}$ plane.

Processes dominated by loop contributions in the SM are sensitive to new physics, and can be used to extract CKM elements only if the SM is assumed. We describe such measurements assuming the SM in Sec. 1.2 and 1.3, give the global fit results for the CKM elements in Sec. 1.4, and discuss implications for new physics in Sec. 1.5.

1.2. Magnitudes of CKM elements

1.2.1. $|V_{ud}|$:

The most precise determination of $|V_{ud}|$ comes from the study of superallowed $0^+ \to 0^+$ nuclear beta decays, which are pure vector transitions. Taking the average of the twenty most precise determinations [8] yields

$$|V_{ud}| = 0.97425 \pm 0.00022. \qquad (1.7)$$

The error is dominated by theoretical uncertainties stemming from nuclear Coulomb distortions and radiative corrections. A precise determination of $|V_{ud}|$ is also obtained from the measurement of the neutron lifetime. The theoretical uncertainties are very small, but the determination is limited by the knowledge of the ratio of the axial-vector and vector couplings, $g_A = G_A/G_V$ [9]. The PIBETA experiment [10] has improved the measurement of the $\pi^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ branching ratio to 0.6%, and quote $|V_{ud}| = 0.9728 \pm 0.0030$, in agreement with the more precise result listed above. The interest in this measurement is that the determination of $|V_{ud}|$ is very clean theoretically, because it is a pure vector transition and is free from nuclear-structure uncertainties.

1.2.2. $|V_{us}|$:

The product of $|V_{us}|$ and the form factor at $q^2 = 0$, $|V_{us}| f_+(0)$, has been extracted traditionally from $K_L^0 \to \pi e \nu$ decays in order to avoid isospin-breaking corrections ($\pi^0 - \eta$ mixing) that affect $K^\pm$ semileptonic decay, and the complications induced by a second (scalar) form factor present in the muonic decays. The last round of measurements has lead to enough experimental constraints to justify the comparison between different decay modes. Systematic errors related to the experimental quantities, *e.g.*, the lifetime of neutral or charged kaons, and the form factor determinations for electron and muonic decays, differ among decay modes, and the consistency between different determinations enhances the confidence in the final result. For this reason, we follow the prescription [11] to average $K_L^0 \to \pi e \nu$, $K_L^0 \to \pi \mu \nu$, $K^\pm \to \pi^0 e^\pm \nu$, $K^\pm \to \pi^0 \mu^\pm \nu$ and $K_S^0 \to \pi e \nu$. The average of these five decay modes yields $|V_{us}| f_+(0) = 0.21664 \pm 0.00048$. Results obtained from each decay mode, and exhaustive references to the experimental data, are listed for instance in Ref. [9]. The form factor value $f_+(0) = 0.9644 \pm 0.0049$ [12] from a three-flavor unquenched lattice QCD calculation gives [9] $|V_{us}| = 0.2246 \pm 0.0012$. The broadly used classic calculation of $f_+(0)$ [13] is in good agreement with this value, while other calculations [14] differ by as much as 2%.

The calculation of the ratio of the kaon and pion decay constants enables one to extract $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$ from $K \to \mu\nu(\gamma)$ and $\pi \to \mu\nu(\gamma)$, where (γ) indicates that radiative decays are included [15]. The KLOE measurement of the $K^+ \to \mu^+\nu(\gamma)$ branching ratio [16], combined with the lattice QCD calculation, $f_K/f_\pi = 1.189 \pm 0.007$ [17], leads to $|V_{us}| = 0.2259 \pm 0.0014$, where the accuracy is limited by the knowledge of the ratio of the decay constants. The average of these

two determinations is quoted by Ref. 9 as

$$|V_{us}| = 0.2252 \pm 0.0009. \qquad (1.8)$$

The latest determination from hyperon decays can be found in Ref. 19. The authors focus on the analysis of the vector form factor, protected from first order $SU(3)$ breaking effects by the Ademollo-Gatto theorem [20], and treat the ratio between the axial and vector form factors g_1/f_1 as experimental input, thus avoiding first order $SU(3)$ breaking effects in the axial-vector contribution. They find $|V_{us}| = 0.2250 \pm 0.0027$, although this does not include an estimate of the theoretical uncertainty due to second-order $SU(3)$ breaking, contrary to Eq. (1.8). Concerning hadronic τ decays to strange particles, the latest determinations based on LEP, BABAR, and Belle data yield $|V_{us}| = 0.2208 \pm 0.0039$ [21]. A measurement of the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\tau \to K\nu)/\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \pi\nu)$ by BABAR [22] combined with the above f_K/f_π value gives $|V_{us}| = 0.2255 \pm 0.0024$.

1.2.3. $|V_{cd}|$:

The magnitude of V_{cd} can be extracted from semileptonic charm decays if theoretical knowledge of the form factors is available. Three-flavor unquenched lattice QCD calculations for $D \to K\ell\nu$ and $D \to \pi\ell\nu$ have been published [23]. Using these estimates and the average of recent CLEO-c [24] and Belle [25] measurements of $D \to \pi\ell\nu$ decays, one obtains $|V_{cd}| = 0.229 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.024$, where the first uncertainty is experimental, and the second is from the theoretical uncertainty of the form factor.

This determination is not yet as precise as the one based on neutrino and antineutrino interactions. The difference of the ratio of double-muon to single-muon production by neutrino and antineutrino beams is proportional to the charm cross section off valence d quarks, and therefore to $|V_{cd}|^2$ times the average semileptonic branching ratio of charm mesons, $\mathcal{B}_\mu$. The method was used first by CDHS [26] and then by CCFR [27,28] and CHARM II [29]. Averaging these results is complicated, not only because it requires assumptions about the scale of the QCD corrections, but also because $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ is an effective quantity, which depends on the specific neutrino beam characteristics. Given that no new experimental input is available, we quote the average provided in a previous review, $\mathcal{B}_\mu|V_{cd}|^2 = (0.463 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{-2}$ [30]. Analysis cuts make these experiments insensitive to neutrino energies smaller than 30 GeV. Thus, $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ should be computed using only neutrino interactions with visible energy larger than 30 GeV. An appraisal [31] based on charm-production fractions measured in neutrino interactions [32,33] gives $\mathcal{B}_\mu = 0.088 \pm 0.006$. Data from the CHORUS experiment [34] are sufficiently precise to extract $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ directly, by comparing the number of charm decays with a muon to the total number of charmed hadrons found in the nuclear emulsions. Requiring the visible energy to be larger than 30 GeV, CHORUS finds $\mathcal{B}_\mu = 0.085 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.006$. To extract $|V_{cd}|$, we use the average of these two determinations, $\mathcal{B}_\mu = 0.087 \pm 0.005$, and obtain

$$|V_{cd}| = 0.230 \pm 0.011. \qquad (1.9)$$

1.2.4. $|V_{cs}|$:

The determination of $|V_{cs}|$ from neutrino and antineutrino scattering suffers from the uncertainty of the s-quark sea content. Measurements sensitive to $|V_{cs}|$ from on-shell $W^{\pm}$ decays were performed at LEP-2. The branching ratios of the W depend on the six CKM matrix elements involving quarks with masses smaller than M_W. The W branching ratio to each lepton flavor is given by $1/\mathcal{B}(W \to \ell\bar{\nu}_\ell) = 3\left[1 + \sum_{u,c,d,s,b}|V_{ij}|^2\,(1 + \alpha_s(m_W)/\pi)\right]$. The measurement assuming lepton universality, $\mathcal{B}(W \to \ell\bar{\nu}_\ell) = (10.83 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.07)\,\%$ [35], implies $\sum_{u,c,d,s,b}|V_{ij}|^2 = 2.002 \pm 0.027$. This is a precise test of unitarity, but only flavor-tagged W-decay measurements determine $|V_{cs}|$ directly. DELPHI measured tagged $W^+ \to c\bar{s}$ decays, obtaining $|V_{cs}| = 0.94^{+0.32}_{-0.26} \pm 0.13$ [36]. Hereafter, the first error is statistical and the second is systematic, unless mentioned otherwise.

The direct determination of $|V_{cs}|$ is possible from semileptonic D or leptonic D_s decays, using unquenched lattice QCD calculations of the semileptonic D form factor or the D_s decay constant. For muonic decays, the average of Belle [37], CLEO-c [38] and *BABAR* [39] gives $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \mu^+\nu) = (5.90 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$ [41]. For decays with τ leptons, the average of CLEO-c [38,42,43] and *BABAR* [39] gives $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \tau^+\nu) = (5.29 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-2}$ [41]. From each of these values, determinations of $|V_{cs}|$ can be obtained by using the PDG values for the mass and lifetime of the D_s, the masses of the leptons, and $f_{D_s} = (248.6 \pm 3.0)\,\mathrm{MeV}$ [44]. The average of these determinations gives $|V_{cs}| = 1.008 \pm 0.024$, where the error is dominated by the lattice QCD determination of f_{D_s}. In semileptonic D decays, unquenched lattice QCD calculations have predicted the normalization and the shape (dependence on the invariant mass of the lepton pair, q^2) of the form factors in $D \to K\ell\nu$ and $D \to \pi\ell\nu$ [23]. Using these theoretical results and the average of recent CLEO-c [24], Belle [25] and *BABAR* [45] measurements of $B \to K\ell\nu$ decays, one obtains $|V_{cs}| = 0.98 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.10$, where the first error is experimental and the second, which is dominant, is from the theoretical uncertainty of the form factor. Averaging the determinations from leptonic and semileptonic decays, we find

$$|V_{cs}| = 1.006 \pm 0.023. \tag{1.10}$$

1.2.5. $|V_{cb}|$:

This matrix element can be determined from exclusive and inclusive semileptonic decays of B mesons to charm. The inclusive determinations use the semileptonic decay rate measurement, together with the leptonic energy and the hadronic invariant-mass spectra. The theoretical foundation of the calculation is the operator product expansion [46,47]. It expresses the total rate and moments of differential energy and invariant-mass spectra as expansions in α_s, and inverse powers of the heavy quark mass. The dependence on m_b, m_c, and the parameters that occur at subleading order is different for different moments, and a large number of measured moments overconstrains all the parameters, and tests the consistency of the determination. The precise extraction of $|V_{cb}|$ requires using a "threshold" quark mass definition [48,49]. Inclusive measurements

have been performed using B mesons from Z^0 decays at LEP, and at e^+e^- machines operated at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. At LEP, the large boost of B mesons from the Z^0 allows the determination of the moments throughout phase space, which is not possible otherwise, but the large statistics available at the B factories lead to more precise determinations. An average of the measurements and a compilation of the references are provided by Ref. [50]: $|V_{cb}| = (41.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$.

Exclusive determinations are based on semileptonic B decays to D and D^*. In the $m_{b,c} \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ limit, all form factors are given by a single Isgur-Wise function [51], which depends on the product of the four-velocities of the B and $D^{(*)}$ mesons, $w = v \cdot v'$. Heavy quark symmetry determines the normalization of the rate at $w = 1$, the maximum momentum transfer to the leptons, and $|V_{cb}|$ is obtained from an extrapolation to $w = 1$. The exclusive determination, $|V_{cb}| = (39.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$ [50], is less precise than the inclusive one because of the theoretical uncertainty in the form factor and the experimental uncertainty in the rate near $w = 1$. The V_{cb} and V_{ub} minireview [50] quotes a combination with a scaled error as

$$|V_{cb}| = (40.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}. \tag{1.11}$$

1.2.6. $|V_{ub}|$:

The determination of $|V_{ub}|$ from inclusive $B \to X_u \ell \bar{\nu}$ decay is complicated due to large $B \to X_c \ell \bar{\nu}$ backgrounds. In most regions of phase space where the charm background is kinematically forbidden, the hadronic physics enters via unknown nonperturbative functions, so-called shape functions. (In contrast, the nonperturbative physics for $|V_{cb}|$ is encoded in a few parameters.) At leading order in Λ_{QCD}/m_b, there is only one shape function, which can be extracted from the photon energy spectrum in $B \to X_s \gamma$ [52,53], and applied to several spectra in $B \to X_u \ell \bar{\nu}$. The subleading shape functions are modeled in the current determinations. Phase space cuts for which the rate has only subleading dependence on the shape function are also possible [54]. The measurements of both the hadronic and the leptonic systems are important for an optimal choice of phase space. A different approach is to make the measurements more inclusive by extending them deeper into the $B \to X_c \ell \bar{\nu}$ region, and thus reduce the theoretical uncertainties. Analyses of the electron-energy endpoint from CLEO [55], *BABAR* [56], and Belle [57] quote $B \to X_u e \bar{\nu}$ partial rates for $|\vec{p}_e| \geq 2.0\,\text{GeV}$ and $1.9\,\text{GeV}$, which are well below the charm endpoint. The large and pure $B\overline{B}$ samples at the B factories permit the selection of $B \to X_u \ell \bar{\nu}$ decays in events where the other B is fully reconstructed [58]. With this full-reconstruction tag method, the four-momenta of both the leptonic and the hadronic systems can be measured. It also gives access to a wider kinematic region because of improved signal purity. Ref. 50 quotes an inclusive average as $|V_{ub}| = (4.41 \pm 0.15 \, {}^{+0.15}_{-0.19}) \times 10^{-3}$.

To extract $|V_{ub}|$ from an exclusive channel, the form factors have to be known. Experimentally, better signal-to-background ratios are offset by smaller yields. The $B \to \pi \ell \bar{\nu}$ branching ratio is now known to 5%. Unquenched lattice QCD calculations of the $B \to \pi \ell \bar{\nu}$ form factor are available [59,60] for the high q^2 region ($q^2 > 16$ or $18\,\text{GeV}^2$).

A simultaneous fit to the experimental partial rates and lattice points versus q^2 yields $|V_{ub}| = (3.23 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$ [60]. Light-cone QCD sum rules are applicable for $q^2 < 14\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$ [61] and yield similar results.

The theoretical uncertainties in extracting $|V_{ub}|$ from inclusive and exclusive decays are different. A combination of the determinations is quoted by Ref. [50] as

$$|V_{ub}| = (4.15 \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-3}. \qquad (1.12)$$

A determination of $|V_{ub}|$ not included in this average is obtained from $\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau\bar{\nu}) = (1.67 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-4}$ [40]. Using $f_B = (190.6 \pm 4.6)\,\mathrm{MeV}$ [44], we find $|V_{ub}| = (5.10 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-3}$. This decay rate is sensitive, for example, to tree-level charged Higgs contributions, and is higher than other $|V_{ub}|$ determinations or the SM fit value.

1.2.7. $|V_{td}|$ and $|V_{ts}|$:

The CKM elements $|V_{td}|$ and $|V_{ts}|$ are not likely to be precisely measurable in tree-level processes involving top quarks, so one has to rely on determinations from B–$\overline{B}$ oscillations mediated by box diagrams with top quarks, or loop-mediated rare K and B decays. Theoretical uncertainties in hadronic effects limit the accuracy of the current determinations. These can be reduced by taking ratios of processes that are equal in the flavor $SU(3)$ limit to determine $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$.

The mass difference of the two neutral B meson mass eigenstates is very well measured, $\Delta m_d = (0.507 \pm 0.004)\,\mathrm{ps}^{-1}$ [62]. In the B_s^0 system, the average of the CDF [63] and recent LHCb [64] measurements yields $\Delta m_s = (17.719 \pm 0.043)\,\mathrm{ps}^{-1}$. Using the unquenched lattice QCD calculations [44], $f_{B_d}\sqrt{\widehat{B}_{B_d}} = (211 \pm 12)\,\mathrm{MeV}$, $f_{B_s}\sqrt{\widehat{B}_{B_s}} = (248 \pm 15)\,\mathrm{MeV}$, and assuming $|V_{tb}| = 1$, one finds

$$|V_{td}| = (8.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}, \qquad |V_{ts}| = (42.9 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-3}. \quad (1.13)$$

The uncertainties are dominated by lattice QCD. Several uncertainties are reduced in the calculation of the ratio $\xi = \left(f_{B_s}\sqrt{\widehat{B}_{B_s}}\right)/\left(f_{B_d}\sqrt{\widehat{B}_{B_d}}\right) = 1.237 \pm 0.032$ [44], and therefore the constraint on $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ from $\Delta m_d/\Delta m_s$ is more reliable theoretically. These provide a new, theoretically clean, and significantly improved constraint

$$\left|V_{td}/V_{ts}\right| = 0.211 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.006. \qquad (1.14)$$

The inclusive branching ratio $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s\gamma) = (3.55 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$ extrapolated to $E_\gamma > E_0 = 1.6\,\mathrm{GeV}$ [65] is also sensitive to $V_{tb}V_{ts}^*$. In addition to t-quark penguins, a large part of the sensitivity comes from charm contributions proportional to $V_{cb}V_{cs}^*$ via the application of 3×3 CKM unitarity (which is used here; any CKM determination from loop processes necessarily assumes the SM). With the NNLO calculation of $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s\gamma)_{E_\gamma > E_0}/\mathcal{B}(B \to X_c e\bar{\nu})$ [66], we obtain $|V_{ts}/V_{cb}| = 1.04 \pm 0.05$. The same CKM elements also determine the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay rate in the SM, and with the bounds approaching the SM level [67], this mode can soon provide a strong constraint.

A complementary determination of $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ is possible from the ratio of $B \to \rho\gamma$ and $K^*\gamma$ rates. The ratio of the neutral modes is theoretically cleaner than that of the charged ones, because the poorly known spectator-interaction contribution is expected to be smaller (W-exchange vs. weak annihilation). For now, because of low statistics we average the charged and neutral rates assuming the isospin symmetry and heavy quark limit motivated relation, $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|^2/\xi_\gamma^2 = [\Gamma(B^+ \to \rho^+\gamma) + 2\Gamma(B^0 \to \rho^0\gamma)]/[\Gamma(B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma) + \Gamma(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma)] = (3.19 \pm 0.46)\%$ [65]. Here ξ_γ contains the poorly known hadronic physics. Using $\xi_\gamma = 1.2 \pm 0.2$ [68], and combining the experimental and theoretical errors in quadrature, gives $|V_{td}/V_{ts}| = 0.21 \pm 0.04$.

A theoretically clean determination of $|V_{td}V_{ts}^*|$ is possible from $K^+ \to \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ decay [69]. Experimentally, only seven events have been observed [70] and the rate is consistent with the SM with large uncertainties. Much more data are needed for a precision measurement.

1.2.8. $|V_{tb}|$:

The determination of $|V_{tb}|$ from top decays uses the ratio of branching fractions $R = \mathcal{B}(t \to Wb)/\mathcal{B}(t \to Wq) = |V_{tb}|^2/(\sum_q |V_{tq}|^2) = |V_{tb}|^2$, where $q = b, s, d$. The CDF and DØ measurements performed on data collected during Run II of the Tevatron give $|V_{tb}| > 0.78$ [71] and $0.99 > |V_{tb}| > 0.90$ [72], respectively, at 95% CL. CMS recently measured the same quantity at 7 TeV and gives $|V_{tb}| > 0.92$ [73] at 95% CL. The direct determination of $|V_{tb}|$ without assuming unitarity is possible from the single top-quark-production cross section. The $(2.71^{+0.44}_{-0.43})$ pb average cross section measured by DØ [74] and CDF [75,76] implies $|V_{tb}| = 0.87 \pm 0.07$. The recent CMS measurement, (83.6 ± 29.8) pb [77] at 7 TeV, implies $|V_{tb}| = 1.14 \pm 0.22$. The average of above gives

$$|V_{tb}| = 0.89 \pm 0.07 \,. \qquad (1.15)$$

An attempt at constraining $|V_{tb}|$ from the precision electroweak data was made in Ref. 78. The result, mostly driven by the top-loop contributions to $\Gamma(Z \to b\bar{b})$, gives $|V_{tb}| = 0.77^{+0.18}_{-0.24}$.

1.3.   Phases of CKM elements

As can be seen from Fig. 1.1, the angles of the unitarity triangle are

$$\beta = \phi_1 = \arg\left(-\frac{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}{V_{td}V_{tb}^*}\right),$$

$$\alpha = \phi_2 = \arg\left(-\frac{V_{td}V_{tb}^*}{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}\right),$$

$$\gamma = \phi_3 = \arg\left(-\frac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}\right). \qquad (1.16)$$

Since CP violation involves phases of CKM elements, many measurements of CP-violating observables can be used to constrain these angles and the $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}$ parameters.

1.3.1. ϵ *and* ϵ':

The measurement of CP violation in K^0–$\overline{K}^0$ mixing, $|\epsilon| = (2.233 \pm 0.015) \times 10^{-3}$ [79], provides important information about the CKM matrix. In the SM, in the basis where $V_{ud}V_{us}^*$ is real [80]

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\epsilon| \;=\; & \frac{G_F^2 f_K^2 m_K m_W^2}{12\sqrt{2}\,\pi^2 \Delta m_K}\,\widehat{B}_K \Big\{ \eta_1 S\left(x_c\right) \mathrm{Im}\left[\left(V_{cs}V_{cd}^*\right)^2\right] \\
& + \eta_2 S\left(x_t\right) \mathrm{Im}\left[\left(V_{ts}V_{td}^*\right)^2\right] + 2\eta_3 S\left(x_c, x_t\right) \mathrm{Im}\left(V_{cs}V_{cd}^* V_{ts}V_{td}^*\right)\Big\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.17}
$$

where S is an Inami-Lim function [81], $x_q = m_q^2/m_W^2$, and η_i are perturbative QCD corrections. The constraint from ϵ in the $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}$ plane is bounded by approximate hyperbolas. The dominant uncertainties are due to the bag parameter, for which we use $\widehat{B}_K = 0.7674 \pm 0.0099$ from lattice QCD [44], and the parametric uncertainty proportional to $\sigma(A^4)$ from $(V_{ts}V_{td}^*)^2$, which is approximately $\sigma(|V_{cb}|^4)$.

The measurement of $6\,\mathrm{Re}(\epsilon'/\epsilon) = 1 - |\eta_{00}/\eta_{+-}|^2$, where η_{00} and η_{+-} are the CP-violating amplitude ratios of K_S^0 and K_L^0 decays to two pions, provides a qualitative test of the CKM mechanism. Its nonzero experimental average, $\mathrm{Re}(\epsilon'/\epsilon) = (1.67 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$ [79], demonstrates the existence of direct CP violation, a prediction of the KM ansatz. While $\mathrm{Re}(\epsilon'/\epsilon) \propto \mathrm{Im}(V_{td}V_{ts}^*)$, this quantity cannot easily be used to extract CKM parameters, because the electromagnetic penguin contributions tend to cancel the gluonic penguins for large m_t [82], thereby significantly increasing the hadronic uncertainties. Most estimates [83–86] agree with the observed value, indicating that $\bar{\eta}$ is positive. Progress in lattice QCD, in particular finite-volume calculations [87,88], may eventually provide a determination of the $K \to \pi\pi$ matrix elements.

1.3.2. β / ϕ_1:

1.3.2.1. *Charmonium modes:*

CP-violation measurements in B-meson decays provide direct information on the angles of the unitarity triangle, shown in Fig. 1.1. These overconstraining measurements serve to improve the determination of the CKM elements, or to reveal effects beyond the SM.

The time-dependent CP asymmetry of neutral B decays to a final state f common to B^0 and $\overline{B}^0$ is given by [89,90]

$$
\mathcal{A}_f = \frac{\Gamma\left(\overline{B}^0\left(t\right) \to f\right) - \Gamma\left(B^0\left(t\right) \to f\right)}{\Gamma\left(\overline{B}^0\left(t\right) \to f\right) + \Gamma\left(B^0\left(t\right) \to f\right)} = S_f \sin\left(\Delta m_d\, t\right) - C_f \cos\left(\Delta m_d\, t\right),
\tag{1.18}
$$

where

$$
S_f = \frac{2\,\mathrm{Im}\lambda_f}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2}\,, \qquad C_f = \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2}\,, \qquad \lambda_f = \frac{q}{p}\frac{\bar{A}_f}{A_f}\,.
\tag{1.19}
$$

Here, q/p describes B^0–$\overline{B}^0$ mixing and, to a good approximation in the SM, $q/p = V_{tb}^*V_{td}/V_{tb}V_{td}^* = e^{-2i\beta + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)}$ in the usual phase convention. A_f $(\bar{A}_f)$ is the amplitude of the $B^0 \to f$ $(\overline{B}^0 \to f)$ decay.

If f is a CP eigenstate, and amplitudes with one CKM phase dominate the decay, then $|A_f| = |\bar{A}_f|$, $C_f = 0$, and $S_f = \sin(\arg \lambda_f) = \eta_f \sin 2\phi$, where η_f is the CP eigenvalue of f and 2ϕ is the phase difference between the $B^0 \to f$ and $B^0 \to \overline{B}^0 \to f$ decay paths. A contribution of another amplitude to the decay with a different CKM phase makes the value of S_f sensitive to relative strong interaction phases between the decay amplitudes (it also makes $C_f \neq 0$ possible).

The $b \to c\bar{c}s$ decays to CP eigenstates ($B^0 \to$ charmonium $K^0_{S,L}$) are the theoretically cleanest examples, measuring $S_f = -\eta_f \sin 2\beta$. The $b \to sq\bar{q}$ penguin amplitudes have dominantly the same weak phase as the $b \to c\bar{c}s$ tree amplitude. Since only λ^2-suppressed penguin amplitudes introduce a new CP-violating phase, amplitudes with a single weak phase dominate, and we expect $\big||\bar{A}_{\psi K}/A_{\psi K}| - 1\big| < 0.01$. The e^+e^- asymmetric-energy B-factory experiments, $BABAR$ [92] and Belle [93], provide precise measurements. The world average is [94]

$$\sin 2\beta = 0.679 \pm 0.020 \,. \tag{1.20}$$

This measurement has a four-fold ambiguity in β, which can be resolved by a global fit as mentioned in Sec. 1.4. Experimentally, the two-fold ambiguity $\beta \to \pi/2 - \beta$ (but not $\beta \to \pi + \beta$) can be resolved by a time-dependent angular analysis of $B^0 \to J/\psi K^{*0}$ [95,96], or a time-dependent Dalitz plot analysis of $B^0 \to \overline{D}^0 h^0$ ($h^0 = \pi^0, \eta, \omega$) with $\overline{D}^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ [97,98]. These results indicate that negative $\cos 2\beta$ solutions are very unlikely, in agreement with the global CKM fit result.

The $b \to c\bar{c}d$ mediated transitions, such as $B^0 \to J/\psi \pi^0$ and $B^0 \to D^{(*)+} D^{(*)-}$, also measure approximately $\sin 2\beta$. However, the dominant component of the $b \to d$ penguin amplitude has a different CKM phase ($V_{tb}^* V_{td}$) than the tree amplitude ($V_{cb}^* V_{cd}$), and its magnitudes are of the same order in λ. Therefore, the effect of penguins could be large, resulting in $S_f \neq -\eta_f \sin 2\beta$ and $C_f \neq 0$. These decay modes have also been measured by $BABAR$ and Belle. The world averages [94], $S_{J/\psi \pi^0} = -0.93 \pm 0.15$, $S_{D^+ D^-} = -0.96 \pm 0.19$, and $S_{D^{*+} D^{*-}} = -0.77 \pm 0.14$ ($\eta_f = +1$ for these modes), are consistent with $\sin 2\beta$ obtained from $B^0 \to$ charmonium K^0 decays, and the C_f's are consistent with zero, although the uncertainties are sizable.

The $b \to c\bar{u}d$ decays, $B^0 \to \overline{D}^0 h^0$ with $\overline{D}^0 \to CP$ eigenstates, have no penguin contributions and provide theoretically clean $\sin 2\beta$ measurements. $BABAR$ measured $S_{D^{(*)} h^0} = -0.56 \pm 0.25$ [91].

1.3.2.2.   *Penguin-dominated modes:*

The $b \to s\bar{q}q$ penguin-dominated decays have the same CKM phase as the $b \to c\bar{c}s$ tree level decays, up to corrections suppressed by λ^2, since $V_{tb}^* V_{ts} = -V_{cb}^* V_{cs}[1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)]$. Therefore, decays such as $B^0 \to \phi K^0$ and $\eta' K^0$ provide $\sin 2\beta$ measurements in the SM. Any new physics contribution to the amplitude with a different weak phase would give rise to $S_f \neq -\eta_f \sin 2\beta$, and possibly $C_f \neq 0$. Therefore, the main interest in these modes is not simply to measure $\sin 2\beta$, but to search for new physics. Measurements of many other decay modes in this category, such as $B \to \pi^0 K^0_S$, $K^0_S K^0_S K^0_S$, *etc.*, have also been

performed by BABAR and Belle. The results and their uncertainties are summarized in Fig. 12.3 and Table 12.1 of Ref. 90.

1.3.3. α / ϕ_2:

Since α is the phase between $V_{tb}^* V_{td}$ and $V_{ub}^* V_{ud}$, only time-dependent CP asymmetries in $b \to u\bar{u}d$ decay dominated modes can directly measure $\sin 2\alpha$, in contrast to $\sin 2\beta$, where several different transitions can be used. Since $b \to d$ penguin amplitudes have a different CKM phase than $b \to u\bar{u}d$ tree amplitudes, and their magnitudes are of the same order in λ, the penguin contribution can be sizable, which makes the determination of α complicated. To date, α has been measured in $B \to \pi\pi$, $\rho\pi$ and $\rho\rho$ decay modes.

1.3.3.1. $B \to \pi\pi$:

It is now experimentally well established that there is a sizable contribution of $b \to d$ penguin amplitudes in $B \to \pi\pi$ decays. Thus, $S_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ in the time-dependent $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ analysis does not measure $\sin 2\alpha$, but

$$S_{\pi^+\pi^-} = \sqrt{1 - C_{\pi^+\pi^-}^2} \, \sin\left(2\alpha + 2\Delta\alpha\right), \qquad (1.21)$$

where $2\Delta\alpha$ is the phase difference between $e^{2i\gamma}\bar{A}_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ and $A_{\pi^+\pi^-}$. The value of $\Delta\alpha$, hence α, can be extracted using the isospin relation among the amplitudes of $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$, and $B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$ decays [99],

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A_{\pi^+\pi^-} + A_{\pi^0\pi^0} - A_{\pi^+\pi^0} = 0, \qquad (1.22)$$

and a similar expression for the $\bar{A}_{\pi\pi}$'s. This method utilizes the fact that a pair of pions from $B \to \pi\pi$ decay must be in a zero angular momentum state, and, because of Bose statistics, they must have even isospin. Consequently, $\pi^0\pi^\pm$ is in a pure isospin-2 state, while the penguin amplitudes only contribute to the isospin-0 final state. The latter does not hold for the electroweak penguin amplitudes, but their effect is expected to be small. The isospin analysis uses the world averages [94,100] $S_{\pi^+\pi^-} = -0.65 \pm 0.07$, $C_{\pi^+\pi^-} = -0.38 \pm 0.06$, the branching fractions of all three modes, and the direct CP asymmetry $C_{\pi^0\pi^0} = -0.43^{+0.25}_{-0.24}$. This analysis leads to 16 mirror solutions for $0 \le \alpha < 2\pi$. Because of this, and the sizable experimental error of the $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ rate and CP asymmetry, only a loose constraint on α can be obtained at present [101], $0° < \alpha < 7°$, $81° < \alpha < 103°$, $121° < \alpha < 150°$, and $166° < \alpha < 180°$ at 68% CL.

1.3.3.2. $B \to \rho\rho$:

The decay $B^0 \to \rho^+\rho^-$ contains two vector mesons in the final state, which in general is a mixture of CP-even and CP-odd components. Therefore, it was thought that extracting α from this mode would be complicated.

However, the longitudinal polarization fractions (f_L) in $B^+ \to \rho^+\rho^0$ and $B^0 \to \rho^+\rho^-$ decays were measured to be close to unity [102], which implies that the final states are almost purely CP-even. Furthermore, $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \rho^0\rho^0) = (0.73^{+0.27}_{-0.28}) \times 10^{-6}$ is much smaller than $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \rho^+\rho^-) = (24.2^{+3.1}_{-3.2}) \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \rho^+\rho^0) =$

$(24.0^{+1.9}_{-2.0}) \times 10^{-6}$ [40], which implies that the effect of the penguin diagrams is small. The isospin analysis using the world averages, $S_{\rho^+\rho^-} = -0.05 \pm 0.17$ and $C_{\rho^+\rho^-} = -0.06 \pm 0.13$ [40], together with the time-dependent CP asymmetry, $S_{\rho^0\rho^0} = -0.3 \pm 0.7$ and $C_{\rho^0\rho^0} = -0.2 \pm 0.9$ [103], and the above-mentioned branching fractions, gives $\alpha = (89.9 \pm 5.4)°$ [101], with a mirror solution at $3\pi/2 - \alpha$. A possible small violation of Eq. (1.22) due to the finite width of the ρ [104] is neglected.

1.3.3.3. $B \to \rho\pi$:

The final state in $B^0 \to \rho^+\pi^-$ decay is not a CP eigenstate, but this decay proceeds via the same quark-level diagrams as $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$, and both B^0 and $\overline{B}^0$ can decay to $\rho^+\pi^-$. Consequently, mixing-induced CP violations can occur in four decay amplitudes, $B^0 \to \rho^\pm\pi^\mp$ and $\overline{B}^0 \to \rho^\pm\pi^\mp$. The time-dependent Dalitz plot analysis of $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays permits the extraction of α with a single discrete ambiguity, $\alpha \to \alpha + \pi$, since one knows the variation of the strong phases in the interference regions of the $\rho^+\pi^-$, $\rho^-\pi^+$, and $\rho^0\pi^0$ amplitudes in the Dalitz plot [105]. The combination of Belle [106] and *BABAR* [107] measurements gives $\alpha = (120^{+11}_{-7})°$ [101]. This constraint is still moderate, and there are also solutions around $30°$ and $90°$ within 2σ significance level.

Combining the above-mentioned three decay modes [101], α is constrained as

$$\alpha = \left(89.0^{+4.4}_{-4.2}\right)°. \tag{1.23}$$

A different statistical approach [108] gives similar constraint from the combination of these measurements.

1.3.4. γ / ϕ_3:

By virtue of Eq. (1.16), γ does not depend on CKM elements involving the top quark, so it can be measured in tree-level B decays. This is an important distinction from the measurements of α and β, and implies that the measurements of γ are unlikely to be affected by physics beyond the SM.

1.3.4.1. $B^\pm \to DK^\pm$:

The interference of $B^- \to D^0 K^-$ ($b \to c\bar{u}s$) and $B^- \to \overline{D}^0 K^-$ ($b \to u\bar{c}s$) transitions can be studied in final states accessible in both D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ decays [89]. In principle, it is possible to extract the B and D decay amplitudes, the relative strong phases, and the weak phase γ from the data.

A practical complication is that the precision depends sensitively on the ratio of the interfering amplitudes

$$r_B = \left| A\left(B^- \to \overline{D}^0 K^-\right) / A\left(B^- \to D^0 K^-\right) \right|, \tag{1.24}$$

which is around $0.1-0.2$. The original GLW method [109,110] considers D decays to CP eigenstates, such as $B^\pm \to D^{(*)}_{CP}(\to \pi^+\pi^-)K^{\pm(*)}$. To alleviate the smallness of r_B and make the interfering amplitudes (which are products of the B and D decay amplitudes) comparable in magnitude, the ADS method [111] considers final states where

Cabibbo-allowed $\overline{D}^0$ and doubly-Cabibbo-suppressed D^0 decays interfere. Extensive measurements have been made by the B factories [112,113], CDF [114] and LHCb [115] using both methods.

It was realized that both D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$ have large branching fractions to certain three-body final states, such as $K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$, and the analysis can be optimized by studying the Dalitz plot dependence of the interferences [116,117]. The best present determination of γ comes from this method. Belle [118] and *BABAR* [119] obtained $\gamma = (78^{+11}_{-12} \pm 4 \pm 9)^\circ$ and $\gamma = (68 \pm 14 \pm 4 \pm 3)^\circ$, respectively, where the last uncertainty is due to the D-decay modeling. The error is sensitive to the central value of the amplitude ratio r_B (and r_B^* for the $D^* K$ mode), for which Belle found somewhat larger central values than *BABAR*. The same values of $r_B^{(*)}$ enter the ADS analyses, and the data can be combined to fit for $r_B^{(*)}$ and γ. The D^0–$\overline{D}^0$ mixing has been neglected in all measurements, but its effect on γ is far below the present experimental accuracy [120], unless D^0–$\overline{D}^0$ mixing is due to CP-violating new physics, in which case it can be included in the analysis [121].

Combining the GLW, ADS, and Dalitz analyses [101], γ is constrained as

$$\gamma = \left(68^{+10}_{-11}\right)^\circ . \tag{1.25}$$

Similar results are found in Ref. [108].

1.3.4.2. $B^0 \to D^{(*)\pm} \pi^{\mp}$:

The interference of $b \to u$ and $b \to c$ transitions can be studied in $\overline{B}^0 \to D^{(*)+} \pi^- \; (b \to c\bar{u}d)$ and $\overline{B}^0 \to B^0 \to D^{(*)+} \pi^- \; (\bar{b} \to \bar{u}c\bar{d})$ decays and their CP conjugates, since both B^0 and $\overline{B}^0$ decay to $D^{(*)\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ (or $D^{\pm} \rho^{\mp}$, *etc.*). Since there are only tree and no penguin contributions to these decays, in principle, it is possible to extract from the four time-dependent rates the magnitudes of the two hadronic amplitudes, their relative strong phase, and the weak phase between the two decay paths, which is $2\beta + \gamma$.

A complication is that the ratio of the interfering amplitudes is very small, $r_{D\pi} = A(B^0 \to D^+ \pi^-)/A(\overline{B}^0 \to D^+ \pi^-) = \mathcal{O}(0.01)$ (and similarly for $r_{D^*\pi}$ and $r_{D\rho}$), and therefore it has not been possible to measure it. To obtain $2\beta + \gamma$, $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry and dynamical assumptions have been used to relate $A(\overline{B}^0 \to D^- \pi^+)$ to $A(\overline{B}^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+)$, so this measurement is not model-independent at present. Combining the $D^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$, $D^{*\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ and $D^{\pm} \rho^{\mp}$ measurements [122] gives $\sin(2\beta + \gamma) > 0.68$ at 68% CL [101], consistent with the previously discussed results for β and γ. The amplitude ratio is much larger in the analogous $B_s^0 \to D_s^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ decays, so it will be possible at LHCb to measure it and model-independently extract $\gamma - 2\beta_s$ [123] (where $\beta_s = \arg(-V_{ts} V_{tb}^*/V_{cs} V_{cb}^*)$ is related to the phase of B_s mixing).

Figure 1.2: Constraints on the $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}$ plane. The shaded areas have 95% CL.

1.4.   Global fit in the Standard Model

Using the independently measured CKM elements mentioned in the previous sections, the unitarity of the CKM matrix can be checked. We obtain $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 0.9999 \pm 0.0006$ (1st row), $|V_{cd}|^2 + |V_{cs}|^2 + |V_{cb}|^2 = 1.067 \pm 0.047$ (2nd row), $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{cd}|^2 + |V_{td}|^2 = 1.002 \pm 0.005$ (1st column), and $|V_{us}|^2 + |V_{cs}|^2 + |V_{ts}|^2 = 1.065 \pm 0.046$ (2nd column), respectively. The uncertainties in the second row and column are dominated by that of $|V_{cs}|$. For the second row, a more stringent check is obtained from the measurement of $\sum_{u,c,d,s,b} |V_{ij}|^2$ in Sec. 1.2.4 minus the sum in the first row above: $|V_{cd}|^2 + |V_{cs}|^2 + |V_{cb}|^2 = 1.002 \pm 0.027$. These provide strong tests of the unitarity of the CKM matrix. The sum of the three angles of the unitarity triangle, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = (178^{+11}_{-12})^\circ$, is also consistent with the SM expectation.

The CKM matrix elements can be most precisely determined by a global fit that uses all available measurements and imposes the SM constraints (*i.e.*, three generation unitarity). The fit must also use theory predictions for hadronic matrix elements, which sometimes have significant uncertainties. There are several approaches to combining the experimental data. CKMfitter [6,101] and Ref. 124 (which develops [125,126] further) use frequentist statistics, while UTfit [108,127] uses a Bayesian approach. These approaches provide similar results.

The constraints implied by the unitarity of the three generation CKM matrix significantly reduce the allowed range of some of the CKM elements. The fit for the Wolfenstein parameters defined in

Eq. (1.4) gives

$$\lambda = 0.22535 \pm 0.00065, \qquad A = 0.811^{+0.022}_{-0.012},$$
$$\bar{\rho} = 0.131^{+0.026}_{-0.013}, \qquad\qquad \bar{\eta} = 0.345^{+0.013}_{-0.014}. \qquad (1.26)$$

These values are obtained using the method of Refs. [6,101]. Using the prescription of Refs. [108,127] gives $\lambda = 0.22535 \pm 0.00065$, $A = 0.817 \pm 0.015$, $\bar{\rho} = 0.136 \pm 0.018$, $\bar{\eta} = 0.348 \pm 0.014$ [128]. The fit results for the magnitudes of all nine CKM elements are

$$V_{\mathrm{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97427 \pm 0.00015 & 0.22534 \pm 0.00065 & 0.00351^{+0.00015}_{-0.00014} \\ 0.22520 \pm 0.00065 & 0.97344 \pm 0.00016 & 0.0412^{+0.0011}_{-0.0005} \\ 0.00867^{+0.00029}_{-0.00031} & 0.0404^{+0.0011}_{-0.0005} & 0.999146^{+0.000021}_{-0.000046} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$(1.27)$$

and the Jarlskog invariant is $J = (2.96^{+0.20}_{-0.16}) \times 10^{-5}$.

Figure 1.2 illustrates the constraints on the $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}$ plane from various measurements and the global fit result. The shaded 95% CL regions all overlap consistently around the global fit region. This consistency gets noticeably worse if $B \to \tau\bar{\nu}$ is included in the fit.

1.5. Implications beyond the SM

The effects in B, K, and D decays and mixings due to high-scale physics (W, Z, t, h in the SM, and new physics particles) can be parameterized by operators made of SM fields, obeying the $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry. The beyond SM (BSM) contributions to the coefficients of these operators are suppressed by powers of the scale of new physics. At lowest order, there are of order a hundred flavor-changing operators of dimension-6, and the observable effects of BSM interactions are encoded in their coefficients. In the SM, these coefficients are determined by just the four CKM parameters, and the W, Z, and quark masses. For example, Δm_d, $\Gamma(B \to \rho\gamma)$, and $\Gamma(B \to X_d \ell^+ \ell^-)$ are all proportional to $|V_{td} V_{tb}^*|^2$ in the SM, however, they may receive unrelated contributions from new physics. The new physics contributions may or may not obey the SM relations. (For example, the flavor sector of the MSSM contains 69 CP-conserving parameters and 41 CP-violating phases, *i.e.*, 40 new ones [129]). Thus, similar to the measurements of $\sin 2\beta$ in tree- and loop-dominated decay modes, overconstraining measurements of the magnitudes and phases of flavor-changing neutral-current amplitudes give good sensitivity to new physics.

To illustrate the level of suppression required for BSM contributions, consider a class of models in which the unitarity of the CKM matrix is maintained, and the dominant effect of new physics is to modify the neutral meson mixing amplitudes [130] by $(z_{ij}/\Lambda^2)(\bar{q}_i \gamma^\mu P_L q_j)^2$ (for recent reviews, see [131,132]). It is only known since the measurements of γ and α that the SM gives the leading contribution to $B^0 - \overline{B}^0$ mixing [6,133]. Nevertheless, new physics with a generic weak phase may still contribute to neutral meson mixings at a significant fraction of the SM [134,127]. The existing data imply that $\Lambda/|z_{ij}|^{1/2}$ has to exceed about 10^4 TeV for $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ mixing, 10^3 TeV for $D^0 - \overline{D}^0$ mixing, 500 TeV for $B^0 - \overline{B}^0$ mixing, and 100 TeV for $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ mixing [127,132]. (Some other operators are even better constrained [127].) The

constraints are the strongest in the kaon sector, because the CKM suppression is the most severe. Thus, if there is new physics at the TeV scale, $|z_{ij}| \ll 1$ is required. Even if $|z_{ij}|$ are suppressed by a loop factor and $|V_{ti}^* V_{tj}|^2$ (in the down quark sector), similar to the SM, one expects percent-level effects, which may be observable in forthcoming flavor physics experiments. To constrain such extensions of the SM, many measurements irrelevant for the SM-CKM fit, such as the CP asymmetry in semileptonic $B_{d,s}^0$ decays, $A_{\rm SL}^{d,s}$, are important [135]. A DØ measurement sensitive to the approximate linear combination $0.6 A_{\rm SL}^d + 0.4 A_{\rm SL}^s$ shows a 3.9σ hint of a deviation from the SM [136].

Many key measurements which are sensitive to BSM flavor physics are not useful to think about in terms of constraining the unitarity triangle in Fig. 1.1. For example, besides the angles in Eq. (1.16), a key quantity in the B_s system is $\beta_s = \arg(-V_{ts} V_{tb}^* / V_{cs} V_{cb}^*)$, which is the small, λ^2-suppressed, angle of a "squashed" unitarity triangle, obtained by taking the scalar product of the second and third columns. This angle can be measured via time-dependent CP violation in $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\,\phi$, similar to β in $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0$. Since the $J/\psi\,\phi$ final state is not a CP eigenstate, an angular analysis of the decay products is needed to separate the CP-even and CP-odd components, which give opposite asymmetries. In the SM, the asymmetry for the CP-even part is $2\beta_s$ (sometimes the notation $\phi_s = -2\beta_s$ plus a possible BSM contribution to the B_s mixing phase is used). Checking if the data agrees with the SM prediction, $\beta_s = 0.018 \pm 0.001$ [101], is another sensitive test of the SM. After the first CP-asymmetry measurements of $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$ hinted at a possible large deviation from the SM, the latest Tevatron results [137] are consistent with the SM, within the sizable uncertainties. So is the much more precise LHCb measurement obtained from $1\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ data, including the $J/\psi\,\pi\pi$ mode, yielding $\beta_s = 0.001 \pm 0.044$ [138]. This uncertainty is still more than twice the SM central value and 40 times the SM uncertainty; thus a lot will be learned from higher precision measurements in the future.

In the kaon sector, the two measured CP-violating observables ϵ and ϵ' are tiny, so models in which all sources of CP violation are small were viable before the B-factory measurements. Since the measurement of $\sin 2\beta$, we know that CP violation can be an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ effect, and only flavor mixing is suppressed between the three quark generations. Thus, many models with spontaneous CP violation are excluded. In the kaon sector, a very clean test of the SM will come from measurements of $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L^0 \to \pi^0 \nu\bar{\nu}$. These loop-induced rare decays are sensitive to new physics, and will allow a determination of β independent of its value measured in B decays [139].

The CKM elements are fundamental parameters, so they should be measured as precisely as possible. The overconstraining measurements of CP asymmetries, mixing, semileptonic, and rare decays have started to severely constrain the magnitudes and phases of possible new physics contributions to flavor-changing interactions. When new particles are observed at the LHC, it will be important to know the flavor parameters as precisely as possible to understand the underlying physics.

Further discussion and all references may be found in the full *Review of Particle Physics*. The numbering of references and equations used here corresponds to that version.